DAC Review of the Draft
District Unified Improvement Plan

Tuesday, April 20, 2020

DUIP Co-Chairs: Jill Fellman & Lorri Avery
Outcomes

• Reminder of 2021-22 DUIP timeline
• Revisit priority challenges/root causes
• Provide feedback on major improvement strategies and action step ideas
Unified Improvement Plan (UIP) Process

Section III: Data Narrative
- Gather and Organize Data
- Review Performance Summary
- Describe Notable Trends

Section IV: Target Setting
- Prioritize Performance Challenges
- Set Performance Targets
- Identify Interim Measures

Section IV: Action Planning
- Identify Root Causes
- Identify Major Improvement Strategies
- Identify Implementation Benchmarks

Ongoing: Progress Monitoring

Prepare to Plan
• DAC review draft DUIP in April
• Preliminary DAC Approval in May
• Updated data to DAC in August
• Board approve in September
• CDE submission in October

– New DAC Timeline
# Root Causes/Priority Performance Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root Cause Name</th>
<th>Root Cause Description</th>
<th>Associated Priority Performance Challenge Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inconsistent district/school/classroom leadership, data-based decision making,</td>
<td>Depending on the school and/or classroom, all students may not receive or benefit from effective continuous improvement processes to meet their varied academic needs. District supports have not been integrated across all departments to develop and support systemic leadership, data-based decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidence-based practices to meet all students’ needs.</td>
<td>Reading academic growth below expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family partnerships, tiered supports and evidence-based practices to meet all</td>
<td></td>
<td>Math academic achievement below expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>students’ needs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decline in districtwide family engagement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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DAC notes from March meeting:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YyE_r1HbZwG69fCldf8fCvS1snr5I9qy4S2gG2eijswe/edit?usp=sharing
# Major Improvement Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Improvement Strategy Name</th>
<th>Associated Root Cause Name</th>
<th>Description of what success will look like:</th>
<th>Description of research supporting this strategy, and why it is a good fit for the school:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The district will ensure 100% of schools provide all students with evidenced-based academic, social-emotional and behavioral supports to meet individual needs so that all students make sufficient academic growth in the 2021-22 school year to be on track to meet or exceed grade level expectations in reading and/or mathematics | Inconsistent district/school/classroom leadership, data-based decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidence-based practices to meet all students’ needs | Through systemic implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) to identify, implement, and monitor student success, 100% of schools will achieve increased academic growth for all students, especially students not yet meeting grade level expectations. | Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is a research-based approach to continuous improvement that includes the following effective components:  
  o Team-driven shared leadership  
  o Data-based problem solving and decision making  
  o Family, school, and community partnering  
  o Layered continuum of supports  
  o Evidence-based practices |
## Action Step Ideas

**Major Improvement Strategy:** District-wide implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Start Date (optional)</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Frequency (optional)</th>
<th>Key Personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data-based problem solving and decision making</td>
<td>Enhance district supports and staff efficacy to use multiple data sources to inform decisions and monitor student success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family, school, and community partnering</td>
<td>Prioritize family partnerships to support student learning and growth as well inform school/district planning and monitoring success of improvement processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layered continuum of supports</td>
<td>Ensure that the district and schools provide strong core instruction in every classroom and support extension for those students who need additional learning opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence-based practices</td>
<td>Provide the professional learning and resources for evidenced-based practices in core instruction and interventions (with a literacy focus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• Take 20 minutes to brainstorm on Jam Board

https://jamboard.google.com/d/1wh0ErTAoQV0D6HnctlZmlgJs_VCXRXHeapgLf8cxBs/edit?usp=sharing
Next Steps

• Jeffco staff and DAC DUIP Subcommittee will finalize spring draft of DUIP
• DUIP draft will be provided before May DAC meeting for review
• May DAC meeting vote to approve preliminary draft DUIP
Thank you!
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# Major Improvement Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Improvement Strategy Name</th>
<th>Associated Root Cause Name</th>
<th>Description of what success will look like:</th>
<th>Description of research supporting this strategy, and why it is a good fit for the school:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The district will ensure 100% of schools provide all students with evidenced-based academic, social-emotional and behavioral supports to meet individual needs so that all students make sufficient academic growth in the 2021-22 school year to be on track to meet or exceed grade level expectations in reading and/or mathematics | Inconsistent district/school/classroom leadership, data-based decision making, family partnerships, tiered supports and evidence-based practices to meet all students’ needs | Through systemic implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) to identify, implement, and monitor student success, 100% of schools will achieve increased academic growth for all students, especially students not yet meeting grade level expectations. | Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is a research-based approach to continuous improvement that includes the following effective components:  
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## Action Step Ideas

### Major Improvement Strategy: District-wide implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) Approach

### Implementation Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Start Date (optional)</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Frequency (optional)</th>
<th>Key Personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data-based problem solving and decision making</td>
<td>Enhance district supports and staff efficacy to use multiple data sources to inform decisions and monitor student success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>Prioritize family partnerships to support student learning and growth as well inform school/district planning and monitoring success of improvement processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layered continuum of supports</td>
<td>Ensure that the district and schools provide strong core instruction in every classroom and support extension for those students who need additional learning opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence-based practices</td>
<td>Provide the professional learning and resources for evidenced-based practices in core instruction and interventions (with a literacy focus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Take 20 minutes to brainstorm on Jam Board
https://jamboard.google.com/d/1wh0ErTAoQV0D6HnctIzmlgJs_VCXRXHeapgLf8cxBs/edit?usp=sharing
Discussion
Next Steps

• Jeffco staff and DAC DUIP Subcommittee will finalize spring draft of DUIP
• DUIP draft will be provided before May DAC meeting for review
• May DAC meeting vote to approve preliminary draft DUIP
Thank you!
PROCESS FOR SELECTION OF DAC MEMBERS

- The district website needs to have a better explanation of the different committees (e.g., DAC, Capital Asset Advisory Committee, Technology Data and Privacy, etc.) and clarification that people must apply for each committee separately, as well as an expected time commitment.
- For parents, a better recruitment process is needed and more clarity about being an Articulation Area Representative (AAR) – what the responsibilities are. If more than two parents from an Articulation Area apply, it shouldn’t be a default of first-come, first-served, but would require looking at the additional information the applicant submitted. Ensure that applicants understand the responsibility and time commitment required to be an active DAC member (i.e., the bylaws attendance policy). Maintain a list of applicants who were not selected, in the event of a vacancy.
- For representatives of organizations, the organizations should be able to propose their own representatives on their own timeline (independent of the board’s timeline for application).
- In the event of any vacancies, the ___ committee of the DAC should make a recommendation to the board, which should create a process for approval at monthly meetings. If there are no backup applicants for the position, the ___ committee of the DAC should be empowered to do outreach to the SACs.

ARTICULATION AREA REPRESENTATIVES (AARs)

- Ensure that an AAR Chair is selected and serves on the FSCP subcommittee.
- Make it optional for the AAR to host an articulation area meeting each year.
- Make it optional that the AAR attend all of their school SAC Meetings at least once per year. We will still note/recommend that this is the best way to build relationships.
- No longer require a meeting at the end of DAC meetings for AARs to meet.
- Keep two AARs per articulation area, but allow them to decide whether to join a DAC subcommittee and to work together on how they wish to manage communication with the articulation area.
- Provide a job description handout for the AAR role explaining their responsibilities.
- Formalize AAR communication:
  - The AAR Chair will provide a quarterly survey drafted by the FSCP for the AARs to send to their areas. FSCP compiles the quarterly data to share with the full DAC and via AARs back to the articulation area SACs.
  - The AAR Chair will provide a monthly communication email template after each DAC meeting for AARs to communicate back to their areas (AAR Chair drafts).